

Beauly to Blackhillock to New Deer to
Peterhead 400kV Project
Environmental Impact Assessment Report
Volume 5 | Appendices

Appendix 12.1.85 – Woodland Report Parcel 13286, Burns Croft





# APPENDIX 12.1.85 – Woodland Report. Parcel 13286, Burns Croft

1	Introduction		
2	Woodland Property		
3 Development Requirements			
	3.1 400kV Overhead Line Infrastructure Requirements		
	3.2 Access Track Route Design		
4 Woodland Characteristics			
	4.1 Woodland Composition and Site Conditions		
	4.2 Photo Record – Operational Corridor Assessment		
_			
5	Windblow Risk		
6	Woodland Management Impact		
7	Mitigation Opportunities		
	7.1 Woodland Mitigation Measures		
	7.2 Restructuring		
	7.3 Restocking		
8	Net Effect / Summary		
9	Compensatory Planting		

### **Appendix Figures**

Figure 12.1.85a: Parcel 13286 Location Map

Figure 12.1.85b: Parcel 13286 Proposed Felling Requirements



#### 1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This Appendix presents information relevant to the Beauly to Blackhillock to New Deer to Peterhead 400 kV Overhead Line (OHL) Project (the Proposed Development). It should be read in conjunction with the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report, specifically **Chapter 12: Forestry**, for full details of the Proposed Development.
- 1.1.2 As part of the EIA, it has been identified that construction of the Proposed OHL Alignment and the associated access tracks would cross several woodland areas within private or publicly owned landholdings.
- 1.1.3 This woodland report assesses the potential impacts of the Proposed Development on Parcel 13286, Burns Croft. It includes the requirements for woodland removal and management recommendations to mitigate the impact of the woodland removal. The report provides an overview of the characteristics of the affected woodland, including woodland composition, site conditions, soil conditions, exposure levels and existing felling approvals. The report also provides details of existing infrastructure, and potential constraints related to forestry operations. It aims to inform decision-making by identifying key environmental and logistical considerations associated with the Proposed Development. Additionally, it evaluates the feasibility of timber extraction and access whilst highlighting necessary mitigation measures to minimise disruption to the woodland ecosystem and surrounding landscape.
- 1.1.4 Field surveys of the woodland areas have been undertaken and have been used to determine the various woodland characteristics, to identify the woodland removal required and recommended. This document also sets out the area quantity hectares (ha) to be compensatory planted to ensure no net loss of woodland is achieved.

### 2 Woodland Property

- 2.1.1 The landholding property boundaries are identified in **Figure 12.1.85a**: **Parcel 13286 Location Map.** The woodland is a small forest block of approximately 1.4 ha completely surrounded by agricultural land. Situated 1.5 km northwest of the village of Newmill and 2.5 km north of the town of Keith in the Moray council area (NJ 425411 536070).
- 2.1.2 There is currently no infrastructure into the block and the closest unclassified council road is approximately 300 m to the east.

# 3 Development Requirements

#### 3.1 400kV Overhead Line Infrastructure Requirements

- 3.1.1 The Study Area for this assessment initially focussed on a 100 m width either side of the centreline of the Proposed OHL Alignment and ancillary infrastructure, where relevant, prior to the identification of an Operational Corridor (OC). The Applicant defines the OC as the area in which it has rights to remove woodland for the purposes of the safe construction, resilience and continued maintenance of OHLs, or protection of electrical plant as required by the Electricity Safety, Quality and Continuity Regulations (ESQCR) 2002<sup>1</sup> and The Electricity Act 1989<sup>2</sup>. The OC is defined based on two different factors as follows:
  - The first factor in which the OC is determined is with reference to the distance at which a tree could fall and cause damage to the OHL, resulting in a supply outage. As a result, the OC width would be based on the safety distance required to allow for a mature tree falling towards the OHL at the mid-point on an OHL span between two towers, taking account of topography and tree height at maturity. Standard falling distance for a mature conifer tree is considered to be a minimum of 45 m. Where the OC passes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UK Gov (2002). The Electricity Safety, Quality and Continuity Regulations 2002. Available at: The Electricity Safety, Quality and Continuity Regulations 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UK Gov (1989). Electricity Act 1989. Available at: Electricity Act 1989



TRANSMISSION

- through areas of broadleaved woodland, it is noted that the width of woodland removal is likely to be reduced, due to the general lower height and characteristics of the tree species present.
- The second factor that is considered is the maximum distance that the OHL conductors can blow out from the tower under a 1 in 50-year return period wind condition, plus the required electrical clearance distance. This is to ensure that the OHL conductors do not come into contact with, or come close enough to, any object that could result in an electrical clearance infringement. This conductor blowout distance varies between each tower dependent on span length and must therefore be considered on a span-by-span basis.
- The typical OC required within areas of commercial conifer forestry for a 400 kV OHL is 90 m (i.e. 45 m either 3.1.2 side of the centre line). Where the OC passes through areas of broadleaved woodland, it is proposed that the extent of woodland removal is likely to be reduced due to the lower height of the tree species present. The OC for the Proposed OHL Alignment through areas of broadleaved woodland has been reduced to 70 m (i.e. 35 m either side of the centre line of the OHL). This has been based on the likely height of the woodland at maturity. Where any woodland removal within the OC is proposed to be reduced from the 45 m either side of the line, a site-specific assessment must be carried out to confirm that the conductor blowout does not exceed the OC width. If the conductor blowout exceeds the OC, then the width of the OC must be increased to meet the requirements of the blowout assessment as a minimum. This will ensure compliance with ESQCR requirements and that the required safety clearances are maintained.
- 3.1.3 A resilient OC of 90 m in width is required within the commercial forest within Woodland Parcel 13286 taking into account the requirements of the conductor blowout assessment. The OC is illustrated in Figure 12.1.85b: Parcel 13286 Proposed Felling Requirement.

#### 3.2 Access Track Route Design

3.2.1 The tracks associated with this section of the OHL are within the OC.

#### 4 **Woodland Characteristics**

#### 4.1 Woodland Composition and Site Conditions

- The woodland was surveyed in December 2024. The woodland in and around the OC consists largely of even-4.1.1 aged, mature Sitka spruce (SS) with small elements of Lodgepole pine (LP). The majority of the block is severely windblown.
- 4.1.2 The forest block sits within an upland farmland landscape and is entirely surrounded by agricultural land.
- 4.1.3 The forest block is moderately exposed with a maximum Detailed Aspect Method of Scoring (DAMS) score of 133.
- 4.1.4 The National Soil Map of Scotland<sup>4</sup> indicates identifies the site as being predominantly non calcareous gleys. Soil conditions throughout the ownership are characterized by a high-water table leading to significant gleying and inhibiting rooting. In the eastern section of the block the water table is extremely high and often above ground level.
- The Ecological Site Classification (ESC)<sup>5</sup> identifies the site as having a cool, moderately exposed and moist climate. The soils have a fresh moisture status and a very poor nutrient status.
- 416 No environmental designations apply to the parcel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Forest Research (2025). Available at: <a href="http://www.forestdss.org.uk/geoforestdss/">http://www.forestdss.org.uk/geoforestdss/</a>.
The Detailed Aspect Method of Scoring (DAMS) is a system used to assess wind exposure in forestry and land management. It provides a numerical score that quantifies the level of exposure a site experiences based on factors such as elevation, topography, and aspect (the direction a slope faces). The DAMS score helps foresters predict wind risk, which is crucial for understanding tree stability, growth potential, and the likelihood of windthrow (trees being uprooted or broken by wind) The scoring system ranges from 0 to 24, with higher scores indicating more exposure to wind.

Scottish Government (2024). National soil map of Scotland. Available at: https://soils.environment.gov.scot/maps/soil-maps/national-soil-map-of-scotland/

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Forest Research Decision Support Tools. Ecological Site Classification. Available at:  $\frac{http://www.forestdss.org.uk/geoforestdss/}{http://www.forestdss.org.uk/geoforestdss/}$ 



TRANSMISSION

- No existing forest management approvals could be found for the block through the Scottish Forestry Web Viewer.
- 4.1.8 The proposed section of OHL consists of a section of OC between towers CB15-4 and CB15-5. Proposed tracks in this section are found within the OC.
- 4.1.9 There is no forest road infrastructure in the block. Access is proposed to be created from the council road, unclassified U49H, to the east or from the property and track to the south prior to operations taking place. On the eastern edge of the block access will be difficult as a result of the high-water table. Due to the access restrictions, it is recommended that the proposed temporary access tracks are installed prior to felling to facilitate extraction. The unclassified U49H is classed as a Consultation Route by the Timber Transport Forum<sup>67</sup>.
- 4.1.10 Considering the quality and quantity of the material and the landform, operations can be carried out by harvester / forwarder combinations.

#### 4.2 Photo Record - Operational Corridor Assessment

4.2.1 The following photographs provide a visual record of key locations along the OC. Each image illustrates existing vegetation types, land use, and notable landscape features relevant to the planning and management of the OC. Particular attention has been given to areas of mature woodland, natural regeneration, and locations where proposed works may intersect with ecologically or visually sensitive habitats. The photos are intended to support site assessments and inform mitigation strategies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Timber Transport Forum. Introduction to Agreed Routes Map. Available at: https://timbertransportforum.org.uk/agreed-routes-map/introduction-to-agreed-

routes-map/
<sup>7</sup> Roads which are key to timber extraction but, for a variety of reasons, are not up to Agreed Route Standard. Consultation with the Local Authority is required before any timber haulage takes place and it may be necessary to limit the amount, timing or frequency of timber haulage, or to specify lower impact vehicles to prevent damage. All minor roads (B, C and unclassified roads) should be treated as Consultation Routes by default unless covered by one of the other categories (e.g. Severely Restricted Route).



Photo 1: Small forest block consisting of even-aged, mature Sitka spruce (NJ 423605 533990, looking northeast)





TRANSMISSION

Photo 2: Extensive wind damage and shallow rooting (NJ 425153 536412, looking south)



Photo 3: High-water table in the east of the block (NJ 425735 535410, looking north)





Photo 4: Unstable woodland and extensive wind damage (NJ 425605 535813, looking northwest)





#### 5 Windblow Risk

- 5.1.1 It is acknowledged that the creation of the OC would result in wider potential indirect effects on the surrounding woodland areas. These areas would be subject to potential increased risk of damage (windblow). Each woodland report identifies further areas of felling to a windfirm edge, defined as 'Management Felling' (categorised as an indirect secondary impact), which is covered in more detail in the Chapter 12: Forestry in Section 12.4. Management felling would be considered as part of any application for felling permission. This would provide restocking as agreed with Scottish Forestry which would result in balancing the loss of woodland. Any felling undertaken outwith the OC would be solely under the control of the relevant landowner (and not the Applicant). It is the intention of the Applicant to encourage the landowners to follow this good practice in terms of redesign of their current Long-Term Forest Plans, which in-turn would aim to follow for the UK Forestry Standard (UKFS) implementation of the works.
- 5.1.2 Considering the management history, the height, and the rooting potential of the crop there is a high risk of windblow as a result of the felling of the OC.

### 6 Woodland Management Impact

- 6.1.1 The impact on woodland management within this forest block is likely low. The majority of the block is already blown and therefore removal seems the likely management option. Considering the size of the block and the volume present removal of the entire block at once is most effective and economical.
- 6.1.2 Long term woodland management will be impacted negatively as the size of the management unit is further reduced which makes it highly unlikely that the block will be used for productive forestry in the future.
- 6.1.3 The infrastructure built for this section of the OHL could provide a benefit to the landowner for future forest management as it could provide long term access into the block which is currently inaccessible. As part of construction works, dedicated crossing points and long-term access opportunities should be discussed with the landowner(s).
- 6.1.4 The Proposed OHL Alignment furthermore introduces an electrical hazard, but the constraint associated with the electrical hazard will be reduced by regular maintenance of the OC which will avoid the incidences of "Red Zone" trees (reference Forest Industry Safety Accord (FISA) 804 "Electricity at Work: Forestry"<sup>6</sup>).
- 6.1.5 The total loss of Native Broadleaved woodland resulting from the proposed alignment is 0.0 ha.

# 7 Mitigation Opportunities

#### 7.1 Woodland Mitigation Measures

7.1.1 No opportunities for mitigation have been identified within this ownership.

#### 7.2 Restructuring

- 7.2.1 Creating structural diversity in a forest block of this size is not feasible. However, a small area of mature spruce can be retained adjacent to the old building southwest of the forest block.
- 7.2.2 The felling of the OC for the development will create a new green edge. For this block this means a reduction of the management unit as the OC does not split the woodland. The management unit that will be left south of the OC is too small to be managed with a productive objective.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Forest Industry Safety Accord (2020), FISA 804 Electricity at Work: Forestry. Available at: https://ukfisa.com/Safety/Safety-Guides/fisa-804



#### 7.3 Restocking

In case the management felling takes place there will be a restock obligation on the landowner.

### 8 Net Effect / Summary

8.1.1 **Tables 8.1 to 8.4** outline the operational requirements for forestry management within the OC between towers CB15-4 and CB15-5. They detail the areas designated for clear felling, both within the OC and additional recommended Management Felling outside the OC to address windthrow risks and forest design considerations.

Table 8.1: Woodland removal for Infrastructure, within OC

ltem	Woodland Type	Area (ha)
Operational corridor felling	Mature Conifer Plantation (90 m)	0.60
Total area		0.60

**Table 8.2: Compensatory Planting** 

	Woodland Type	Area (ha)
Compensatory Planting Area	Mature Conifer Plantation	0.60
Total area		0.60

Table 8.3: Woodland Removal Impact of Infrastructure

ltem	Area (ha)
Total Loss of Woodland Area	0.60
Total Compensatory Planting Area	0.60
Total Net Loss of Woodland Area	

Table 8.4: Woodland removal for Management Felling, outwith OC

Item	Woodland Type	Area (ha)
Management Felling	Mature Conifer Plantation	0.75
Replanting / Restocking Opportunities	Conifer Plantation	0.75
Net Loss of Woodland Area		0.00

# 9 Compensatory Planting

- 9.1.1 Only areas directly impacted by the OC will be included in the compensatory planting total, in accordance with the Control of Woodland Removal Policy (CoWRP)<sup>7</sup>. This policy ensures that woodland loss due to development is mitigated by appropriate replanting or regeneration efforts, but it specifically applies to areas where tree removal is necessary for the Proposed Development. See **Appendix 12.3 Compensatory Planting Management Strategy**.
- 9.1.2 Any additional felling outside the OC, such as areas cleared for windthrow management or forest design improvements, falls under the responsibility of the landowner and is not included in the compensatory planting requirements. Instead, these areas may be replanted under a forest plan revision or felling license at the landowner's discretion. This approach aligns with national forestry guidelines, balancing infrastructure development with sustainable woodland management.
- 9.1.3 The total amount of net felling requiring compensation under the CoWRP 0.60 ha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Forestry Commission Scotland (2009). Control of Woodland Removal Policy. Available at: <a href="https://www.forestry.gov.scot/publications/285-the-scottish-government-s-policy-on-control-of-woodland-removal/viewdocument/285">https://www.forestry.gov.scot/publications/285-the-scottish-government-s-policy-on-control-of-woodland-removal/viewdocument/285</a>



TRANSMISSION

9.1.4 In order to provide a greater balance limiting long-term impacts on forestry interests it is proposed that the majority of this woodland loss is compensated via offsite compensatory planting within the same local authority area. It is proposed that full details of the areas subject to this offsite compensatory planting is notified to Scottish Forestry prior to energising the OHL.



