

# New Beaully Area 400kV Substation

## Report on Consultation Brief and Mitigation Workshop

21 November 2023



**Scottish & Southern**  
Electricity Networks

---

TRANSMISSION

# Report on Consultation Brief and Mitigation Workshop – 1 hour

## 1. The Report on Consultation and how alternatives were considered

- *Original sites and options assessed*
- *Why Option 7 was preferred*
- *Feedback received from consultation and how it has been considered*
- *Additional sites and options assessed*
- *AIS v GIS*

## 2. Next Steps

## 3. Design considerations & community influence

- *Landscape and Visual considerations*
- *Input invited from the CLG on*

## 4. CLG Suggestions

- *Feedback and discussion – what would make this option better for the community?*

# 1. The Report on Consultation

The Report on Consultation (RoC) is anticipated to be finalised and published late November/ early December  
We are sharing information that will be outlined within the RoC with the CLG to provide transparency, advance notice for Community Councillors and to aid discussion during the workshop.

## What site is being taken forward?

**Site Option 7**  
(combined):  
Fanellan

## Why?

Site 7 was proposed as the preferred site for consultation following initial assessment.

Feedback from the consultation recommended we consider alternative sites, which were then assessed using the same site selection process;  
**however, none of these outperformed Site 7 on balance.**



## What alternatives were considered?

- 16 sites investigated originally
- 4 options shortlisted and taken forward to consultation
- 6 additional options identified and assessed following consultation feedback

## Why aren't you workshopping the site options with the CLG?

It is vital that CLG engagements are meaningful and influence project decision making. As the alternative options suggested had been investigated and scored poorer than Site 7, we considered the site location as not something the CLG could meaningfully influence at this stage and the workshop should focus on mitigating impacts

# Original Sites and Options Assessed



# Why was Option 7 preferred

- Large site that can accommodate both the substation and HVDC converter station. Limits new UGC, overall footprint/ earthworks and concentrates development in one new area.
- Relatively unconstrained site in three directions, allowing the new 400kv OHLs to connect into the substation.
- Site 7 rated best regarding environment, cultural heritage, planning policy and economics.
- Located next to the existing Beaulieu- Denny 400kv OHL, which the new substation will need to tie into because the new substation needs to connect back to the existing Beaulieu substation. This limits new OHL/ UGC in the Beaulieu area and the associated environmental impacts.
- Ruttall wood provides natural screening in some directions.
- Undulating topography of the site will limit the amount of import material required (reducing construction traffic) and provides an opportunity to construct landscape bunds.
- There is adjacent land available for ancillary infrastructure such as construction compounds, SuDS and landscaping.
- Community – immediate area is sparsely populated in comparison to other sites, 'recreation' scored equally across all sites assessed. Site is located away from existing Beaulieu substation, reducing risk of adding to existing noise levels.



# Feedback from consultation and how it has been considered

## From the community

### Common themes:

- Project need, technology choice, environmental impacts, socio-economic impacts, consultation process

### Project Specific feedback:

- Site selection process- methodology, weightings, timescales
- Additional sites requested to be assessed: West of Broallan, Quarry and split site option (Quarry A and Site 7)
- Landscape & Visual concerns- including on tourist routes, suggestions for mitigation
- Noise
- Health and Wellbeing
- Impacts on recreation (walking and cycling)
- Information on the connecting new 400KV OHLs
- Subsea v onshore
- Future expansion plans
- Environmental impacts- wildlife, protected species, cultural heritage
- Construction phase- construction methodology and impacts, including roads, drainage
- Operational phase- Light pollution, security risk, property values, tourism, community benefit



*Full responses to these themes are provided in the Report on Consultation, which will be published imminently*

# Feedback from consultation and how it has been considered

## From The Highland Council:

- THC is generally supportive of transmission projects and understand the benefits of the project however they have concerns about: size of development, landscape & visual, noise, lack of potential suitable sites which are well screened at a lower level to accommodate the height & scale of buildings, extent of OHL proposed, limited separation from residential receptors, environmental impacts/ enhancement, technology choice, impacts on local road network and maximising socio-economic impacts.
- THC requested us to review the split site option (Quarry A and Site 7) in more detail.
- If site 7 combined is progressed then we must try and reduce land take, lower development into landscape, engage a landscape architect to design the development to suit the landform.

## From NatureScot:

- Beauly substation is not expected to result in significant effects on landscapes of national importance
- Cromarty Firth and Inner Moray Firth SPA- Osprey- high potential for disturbance during construction. Survey data required to determine effects and mitigation plans
- No direct or indirect impacts on non-breeding birds
- No direct or indirect impacts on SSSI/ RAMSAR sites
- Applicant to explore & identify opportunities for biodiversity enhancement

## From SEPA:

- SEPA agree with our choice of site 7, least likely to negatively impact on flood risk, private water supplies and watercourse.
- Flood risk assessment and Drainage impact assessment required.

## From Historic Environment Scotland:

- Site 7 is least likely to have impact on setting of nearby scheduled monuments or raise issues of national interest. None of the other options assessed provide a betterment. Note this needs confirmed by a full assessment, including cumulative assessment with OHLs is required.
- Recognised site 7 is located west of Beaufort castle inventory garden and designed landscape. It may be visible, however perimeter of estate is enclosed by mature woodland that would limit visibility. Impacts are unlikely to raise issues of national interest.
- Agree with our decision to discount West of Broallan and Quarry C sites due to proximity to scheduled monuments
- Concerned with Quarry A site- brings the development close to the scheduled area- Kiltarlity Parish Church. If option was progressed, the tree shelterbelt would need to be retained. If it couldn't be retained then the HVDC buildings may have a significant impact on the setting, may raise issues of national importance.

# Feedback from consultation and how it has been considered

## What we initially did in response

We investigated **6** additional site options in response to feedback.

We also further considered whether GIS could be a viable option in comparison to AIS.

## What we're still considering

We're currently considering how we can best mitigate all potential impacts associated with the site and improve our consultation process and **hope to work with the CLG regarding reducing landscape and visual impacts** at this stage.

## What we didn't consider

We didn't re-consider the other shortlisted sites presented at consultation, as site selection already indicated Site 7/ Fanellan as preferable in comparison and we didn't receive a consensus that another site was preferable from the communities perspective.






# Additional Sites & Options Assessed

## West of Broallan (Not taken to Stage 2)

- Approx 3km from the existing Beaully-Denny 400kv OHL, this would require a significant diversion, because the new substation needs to tie-into this OHL, to connect back to existing Beaully substation.
- Elevation of site would constrain choice of technology
- An unnamed watercourse route through the site
- Scheduled Monument, Dun Garbhaich fort (SM2422), located approx 50m north.
- Presence of infrastructure to an area where there's currently none, likely compromise sense of remoteness.
- Technically challenging to create a new access route to site due to the remote location.
- Steep slopes / topography of site would make constructability challenging.



# Additional Sites & Options Assessed

## Quarry A (Taken to Stage 2)

- Contains AWI (2b LEPO), majority of woodland removed from site due to quarry. Generally free from other environmental constraints that would preclude development of this option
- Potential to conflict with planning policy (Policy 53: Minerals within the Highland Wide LDP). However, quarry will close in Dec '25 but quarry restoration may be affected.
- The position of the site on land impacted by the quarry works results in unique hazards, unfavourable topography, and risk of contaminated land.
- Noise- Close to residential properties , risk of adding to the current levels from the existing Beaully substation.
- Connectivity to the new Beaully to Loch Buidhe 400kv OHL would be challenging.
- Limited space would restrict technology choice for the substation to GIS arrangement. This would also prevent future expansion if required. This determined this site only suitable for the HVDC Converter station



## Quarry B (Not taken to Stage 2)

- Noise- close to residential properties- risk of adding to the current levels from the existing substation.
- AWI (2b LEPO) covers margins of the site, this woodland has potential to support recreational activities.
- 3 unnamed water features through the site, low- medium risk of fluvial flooding.
- Sits across a former meander of the River Beaully and would require removal of a large area of mixed woodland with oxbow ponds
- Contains Class 3.1 agricultural land (high-quality) current land use is for agricultural purposes.
- Potential planning policy conflicts relate to landscape character, flooding, agricultural land and ancient/native woodland.
- Connectivity to new 400kv OHL (Beaully to Loch Buidhe 400kv OHL) would be challenging
- Diversion of an existing OHL required and outages required to enable this.
- Limited space available for ancillary infrastructure, such as temporary construction compounds, SuDs and landscape

# Additional Sites & Options Assessed

## Quarry C (Not taken to Stage 2)

- Noise- Close to residential properties , risk of adding to existing levels from existing Beauly substation.
- Scheduled Monument, Corff House fort, located approx. 30m east.
- Potential to conflict with planning policy (Policy 53: Minerals within the Highland Wide LDP). However, quarry will close in Dec '25 but quarry restoration may be affected.
- Land in use for quarry activities and industrial components, therefore ground conditions are unknown and contaminated land is likely.
- Limited space available would prevent future expansion if required.
- Unique engineering hazards as a result of proximity to quarry.
- Option was noted as a potential site for the Western Isles convertor station only, due to impact of connecting Beauly/Denny OHL and impact to existing and future connections.






## Quarry D (Not taken to Stage 2)

- The site is an extension to the existing Beauly Substation.
- Close to residential properties, risk of adding to current levels from existing Beauly substation.
- Unnamed water features route through the site and 3 unnamed water features are located 20m, 35m and 65m north west.
- Potential to conflict with planning policy (Policy 53: Minerals within the Highland Wide LDP). However, quarry will close in Dec '25 but quarry restoration may be affected
- Limited space available would limit technology choice, to GIS. It would also prevent future expansion if required and result in a non-standardised design of substation.
- Significant earthworks needed to build up to existing platform level
- Complex and prolonged outages required to facilitate construction
- Connectivity to the new Beauly - Loch Buidhe 400kv OHL would be challenging.
- The position of the site on land impacted by the quarry works results in unique hazards, unfavourable topography, and risk of contaminated land.

# Additional Sites & Options Assessed

## Split site option (HVDC Converter at Quarry A and Substation at Site 7)

- **Ground conditions** - highly disturbed and may vary across site as material is excavated, stockpiled and backfilled. Risk of ground contamination and import requirements likely.
- **Space availability** - limited for screening bunds and/or SUDS, increasing visual impact and potential for surface water challenges during operational phase
- **Flooding**
  - level of quarry extraction is below the flood level for River Beauly. The building platform would need to be raised in height meaning greater visual impacts and need to import materials.
  - There is an aquifer below the site and ground water sits around 8 -11meters AOD. This poses challenges with the converter station, as it requires a basement.
- **OHL diversion** - Beauly-Denny OHL required to be diverted provide safe clearance zones. This would require removal of tree belt north of the quarry.
- **Connectivity** - Significant 400kv AC cabling back to the new substation at site 7 would be required. 15 no. HDDs would be required to cross the river Beauly. The increased cabling reduces efficiency of using the HVDC and has technical and economic challenges. Physical constrained by dam.
- **Visual impact**- the diversion of the OHL and removal of the tree belt to the north, would increase the visibility of the site from the A831. The need to import material to raise the quarry platform level and remove the flooding risk would also increase the visual impact. There is also limited space around the site to provide mitigations e.g. bunds.
- **Noise**- locating the converter station on the quarry site, and diverting the OHL north resulting in tree loss would have negative effect on existing noise levels.



# Additional Sites & Options Assessed

## AIS vs GIS (Air Insulated Switchgear vs Gas Insulated Switchgear)

As many will be aware, we have two key technologies available for the main switchgear i.e. **AIS and GIS**. The use of gas as an insulating medium in GIS allows for smaller footprints for the main busbar and key components such as circuit breakers. However, the decision on whether to use AIS or GIS requires consideration of many factors beyond footprint.

### Key Points On GIS Technology

- There is a fundamental difference in the GIS technology used at 132kV (such as that being built for the Beaulieu 132kV project) and higher voltages, such as 400kV.
- At 132kV, all three phases are housed inside a single tube, whereas at 400kV all three phases require separate tubes, which increases the size.
- Further, it is relatively simple to connect high voltage cables directly to 132kV GIS, but this is more complex with 400kV GIS, which typically necessitates the use of lengths of Gas Insulated Busbar (GIB). Thus increasing the size of the footprint.
- 400kV non-SF6 switchgear is a relatively new development, we currently don't believe anyone in the world has any 400kV non-SF6 GIS operational yet
- In terms of project delivery, we have more options available to us working with AIS, compared with GIS

### Our consideration of GIS

Given it requires a larger footprint, initial options assessment for Pathway to 2030 substation sites were based on AIS as 'worst case'. Any site capable of housing AIS, could reasonably be expected to house a GIS design as well.

Once several potential site locations had been identified, each was evaluated against a scorecard of factors associated with AIS versus GIS.


Across Pathway to 2030 projects, GIS was generally only progressed at sites where environmental requirements (such as coastal location) dictated an indoor solution was required. In those cases, the downsides associated with a 400kV AIS substation indoors made GIS more favourable

For Beaulieu, the number of circuit connections allied with the need to minimise GIB (instead using 400kV cable or AIS busbars) resulted in significant electrical infrastructure outside the main GIS building. This translated to **a site size approximately 2/3** of the size of the equivalent AIS layout.

With no technical driver (e.g. indoor requirement), and a relatively limited footprint reduction we did not pursue the GIS option.

Our approach to AIS vs GIS across the whole ASTI portfolio in general and at each site in particular (including Beaulieu) has been presented to OFGEM over recent months; OFGEM agreed with our approach.

# Additional Sites & Options Assessed



GIS Arrangement Model developed as part of optioneering, to allow AIS vs GIS comparison.

## 2. Next Steps

- Report on Consultation published (uploaded to webpage, webinar, Summary Reports, briefings)
- Design development
- Site visit with CLG members if considered beneficial
- Ongoing invitation for meeting with SSEN Transmission System Planning/Senior Managers in Inverness or Perth
- EIA Screening & Scoping
- Provide CLG with preview of Design Q1 2024 ahead of PAC event
- Next Consultation event (March 2024 tbc)



# 3. Design considerations & community influence

The landscape and visual factors to consider in design are as follows and we want to work with the CLG to ensure their views and suggestions and taken forward where possible.

- There will be limitations to some mitigation due to engineering and planning constraints, but we'll be transparent about what we likely can and cannot take forward and try to be innovative in adopting asks.

Landscape and visual factors that SSEN Transmission will consider during design :

- Split level site between the HVDC site and Substation
- Lowering level of platfor in entirety
- Building height reduction of HVDC buildings
- **Cladding Colour**
- **Landscaping & planting**
- OHL Tower locations
- **Site Entrance landscaping**

Input invited from the CLG on:

- Are there any particular viewpoints that should be included in the Environmental Impact Assessment?
- Are there any current issues that could benefit local road improvements?
- Our next events; what are your thoughts re locations to consult in, layout, advertising, etc – what improvements would you like to see made?
- Is there anything else we can do or do earlier in the process to mitigate community concerns e.g. can we construct landscape bunding early in the construction process /can we plant mature trees to reduce visual impact



# Building Colour Examples



White RAL 9003 BS 00E55	Grey White RAL 9002	Sunflower Yellow* BS 10E55	Saffron Yellow* BS 08E53
Cream RAL 1015 BS 10C31	Mushroom RAL 080 70 10 BS 10B19	Camouflage RAL 110 50 10	Willow Green RAL 100 80 20 BS 12B17
Khaki Green RAL 100 60 20 BS 12B21	Leaf Green* RAL 6002	Bottle Green RAL 6007	Olive Green RAL 100 30 20 BS 12B27
Holly Bush BS 14C39	Juniper Green* RAL 160 20 10 BS 12B29	Owl Grey RAL 240 80 05 BS 18B17	Goosewing Grey RAL 080 70 05 BS 10A05
Merlin Grey RAL 180 40 05 BS 18B25	Pure Grey RAL 9005 55 00	Anthracite RAL 7016	Umber Grey RAL 7022
Powder Blue RAL 210 80 10	Denim RAL 5014	Wedgwood Blue RAL 220 50 15 BS 18C37	Cornflower Blue* BS 18E53
Azure Blue* RAL 220 30 25 BS 18C39	Sapphire Blue* RAL 5003	Midnight RAL 5008	Slate Blue* BS 18B29
Flame Red* BS 04E53	Russet Red* RAL 3013 BS 04D44	Copper Beech* RAL 040 40 40 BS 04C39	Red Brown* RAL 8012
Van Dyke Brown* RAL 8014 BS 08B29	Black RAL 9005 BS 00E53		

# 4. CLG Suggestions

Floor open to CLG and their role in mitigating the impacts