SKYE REINFORCEMENT PROJECT

Appendix V2-6.1: Methodology for Assessing Significance of Effect

Prepared for: Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks Transmission (SSEN Transmission)

SLR Ref: 428.04707.00020 Version No: Final September 2022



BASIS OF REPORT

This document has been prepared by SLR with reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the manpower, timescales and resources devoted to it by agreement with Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks (the Client) as part or all of the services it has been appointed by the Client to carry out. It is subject to the terms and conditions of that appointment.

SLR shall not be liable for the use of or reliance on any information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document for any purpose by any person other than the Client. Reliance may be granted to a third party only in the event that SLR and the third party have executed a reliance agreement or collateral warranty.

Information reported herein may be based on the interpretation of public domain data collected by SLR, and/or information supplied by the Client and/or its other advisors and associates. These data have been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

The copyright and intellectual property in all drawings, reports, specifications, bills of quantities, calculations and other information set out in this report remain vested in SLR unless the terms of appointment state otherwise.

This document may contain information of a specialised and/or highly technical nature and the Client is advised to seek clarification on any elements which may be unclear to it.

Information, advice, recommendations and opinions in this document should only be relied upon in the context of the whole document and any documents referenced explicitly herein and should then only be used within the context of the appointment.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
2.1	Sensitivity of Receptor	
2.2	Magnitude of Impact	
2.3	Significance of Effect	

DOCUMENT REFERENCES

TABLES

Table 2-1: Criteria for Assessing Sensitivity of Receptor	1
Table 2-2: Criteria for Assessing Magnitude of Impact	2
Table 2-3: Significance of Effect	3

1.0 Introduction

An assessment has been undertaken on hydrology and hydrogeology (the water environment) during the construction and operational phases of the Proposed Development. The assessment has also considered the potential effects of dismantling the existing 132 kV overhead line on hydrology and hydrogeology.

The significance of effects of the Proposed Development has been assessed by considering two factors: the sensitivity of the receiving environment and the potential magnitude of impact, should that effect occur.

This approach provides a mechanism for identifying the areas where mitigation measures are required and for identifying mitigation measures appropriate to the significance of likely effects presented by the Proposed Development.

Criteria for determining the significance of effect are provided in the following paragraphs.

2.0 Methodology for Assessing Significance of Effect

2.1 Sensitivity of Receptor

The sensitivity of the receiving environment (i.e. the baseline quality of the receiving environment) is defined as its ability to absorb an effect without a detectable change and can be considered through a combination of professional judgement and a set of pre-defined criteria which is set out in **Table 2-1**. Receptors in the receiving environment only need to meet one of the defined criteria to be categorised at the associated level of sensitivity.

Sensitivity	Definition		
High	 SEPA Water Framework Directive Water Body Classification: High-Good or is close to the boundary of a classification: Moderate to Good or Good to High; receptor is of high ecological importance or National or International value (e.g. Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC), habitat for protected species) which may be dependent upon the hydrology of the area of the Proposed Development; receptor is at high risk from flooding above 0.5% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) and/or water body acts as an active floodplain or flood defence; receptor is used for public and/or private water supply (including Drinking Water Protected Areas); groundwater vulnerability is classified as High; and if a Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystem (GWDTE) is present and identified as being of high sensitivity. 		
Medium	 SEPA Water Framework Directive Water Body Classification: Moderate or is close to the boundary of a classification: Low to Moderate; receptor is at moderate risk from flooding (0.1% AEP to 0.5% AEP) but does not act as an active floodplain or flood defence; and moderate classification of groundwater aquifer vulnerability. 		
Low	 SEPA Water Framework Directive Water Body Classification: Poor or Bad; receptor is at low risk from flooding (less than 0.1% AEP); and receptor not used for water supplies (public or private). 		

Table 2-1: Criteria for Assessing Sensitivity of Receptor

Sensitivity	Definition
Not Sensitive	 receptor would not be affected by the Proposed Development e.g. lies within a different and unconnected hydrological / hydrogeological catchments.

2.2 Magnitude of Impact

The potential magnitude of impact would depend upon whether the potential effect would cause a fundamental, material or detectable change. In addition, the timing, scale, size and duration of the potential effect resulting from the Proposed Development are also determining factors. The criteria that have been used to assess the magnitude of impact are defined in **Table 2-2**.

Magnitude of Impact	Criteria	Definition
High	Results in a loss of attribute	 Fundamental (long term or permanent) changes to the baseline hydrology and hydrogeology, such as: wholesale changes to watercourse channel, route, hydrology or hydrodynamics; changes resulting in an increase in runoff with flood potential and also significant changes to erosion and sedimentation patterns; major changes to the water chemistry; and major changes to groundwater levels, flow regime and risk of groundwater flooding.
Moderate	Results in impact on integrity of attribute or loss of part of attribute	 Material but non-fundamental and short to medium term changes to the baseline hydrology and hydrogeology, such as: some fundamental changes to watercourses, hydrology or hydrodynamics; changes to site resulting in an increase in runoff within system capacity; moderate changes to erosion and sedimentation patterns; moderate changes to the water chemistry; and moderate changes to groundwater levels, flow regime and risk of groundwater flooding.
Minor	Results in minor impact on attribute	 Detectable but non-material and transitory changes to the baseline hydrology and hydrogeology, such as: minor or slight changes to the watercourse, hydrology or hydrodynamics; changes to site resulting in slight increase in runoff well within the drainage system capacity; minor changes to erosion and sedimentation patterns; minor changes to the water chemistry of surface runoff and groundwater; and

Table 2-2: Criteria for Assessing Magnitude of Impact

Magnitude of Impact	Criteria	Definition	
		 minor changes to groundwater levels, flow regime and risk of groundwater flooding. 	
Negligible	Results in an impact on attribute but of insufficient magnitude to affect the use / integrity	 No perceptible change to the baseline hydrology and hydrogeology, such as: no alteration or very minor changes with no impact to watercourses, hydrology, hydrodynamics, erosion and sedimentation patterns; no pollution or change in water chemistry to either groundwater or surface water; and no alteration to groundwater recharge or flow mechanisms. 	

2.3 Significance of Effect

The sensitivity of the receiving environment together with the magnitude of the impact determines the significance of the effect, which can be categorised into level of significance as identified in **Table 2-3**. This also considers good practice measures implemented and embedded as part of the design and construction of the Proposed Development and use of professional judgement where appropriate.

The table provides a guide to assist in decision making. However, it should not be considered as a substitute for professional judgment and interpretation. In some cases, the potential sensitivity of the receiving environment or the magnitude of potential impact cannot be quantified with certainty and, therefore, professional judgement remains the most robust method for identifying the predicted significance of a potential effect.

Table 2-3: Si	gnificance of Effect
---------------	----------------------

Magnitude of Impact	Sensitivity of Receptor			
inpact	High	Medium	Low	Not Sensitive
High	Major	Major	Moderate	Negligible
Moderate	Major	Moderate	Minor	Negligible
Minor	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Negligible
Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

Effects of 'major' and 'moderate' significance are considered to be 'significant' in terms of the EIA Regulations. Minor and negligible effects are not considered significant.

EUROPEAN OFFICES

AYLESBURY T: +44 (0)1844 337380 GRENOBLE T: +33 (0)6 23 37 14 14

LEEDS

BELFAST belfast@slrconsulting.com

BIRMINGHAM T: +44 (0)121 2895610

BONN T: +49 (0)176 60374618

BRADFORD-ON-AVON T: +44 (0)1225 309400

BRISTOL T: +44 (0)117 9064280

CARDIFF T: +44 (0)2920 491010

CHELMSFORD T: +44 (0)1245 392170

DUBLIN T: +353 (0)1 296 4667

EDINBURGH T: +44 (0)131 335 6830

EXETER T: +44 (0)1392 490152

FRANKFURT frankfurt@slrconsulting.com T: +44 (0)113 5120293

LONDON T: +44 (0)203 8056418

MAIDSTONE T: +44 (0)1622 609242

MANCHESTER T: +44 (0)161 8727564

NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE newcastle@slrconsulting.com

NOTTINGHAM T: +44 (0)115 9647280

SHEFFIELD T: +44 (0)114 2455153

SHREWSBURY T: +44 (0)1743 239250

STIRLING T: +44 (0)1786 239900

WORCESTER T: +44 (0)1905 751310

